CASREF,PAW

U.S. District Court Southern District of Florida (Ft. Lauderdale) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 0:10-cv-62214-JEM

Bell v. Tyrie et al

Assigned to: Judge Jose E. Martinez

Referred to: Magistrate Judge Patrick A. White

Cause: 28:1983 Civil Rights

Date Filed: 11/16/2010 Jury Demand: Plaintiff

Nature of Suit: 550 Prisoner: Civil

Rights

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

James A. Bell

Prisoner ID: L79545

represented by James A. Bell

L79545

Everglades Correctional Institution

1599 S.W. 187 Avenue Miami, FL 33194

PRO SE

V.

Defendant

Kevin Tyrie, Jr. Deputy, #15190

represented by Alain E. Boileau

Alain E. Boileau, P.A. Post Office Box 880687 Boca Raton, FL 33488

561-901-2283

Email: aeblawfirm@gmail.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert Hunt Schwartz

McIntosh Schwartz, P.L.

888 SE 3rd Avenue

Suite 500

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33335-9002

954-523-5885 Fax: 954-760-9531

Email: rhs@mcintoshschwartz.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Morris Magloire

Deputy, #8988

represented by Alain E. Boileau

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert Hunt Schwartz

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
11/16/2010	1	COMPLAINT Under the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. 1983 against Morris Magloire, Kevin Tyrie, Jr. Filing fee \$ 350.00. IFP Filed, filed by James A. Bell.(asl) Modified event for MJSTAR on 2/18/2011 (bb). (Entered: 11/16/2010)
11/16/2010	2	APPLICATION to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit by James A. Bell. (asl) (Entered: 11/16/2010)
11/16/2010	3	Judge Assignment RE: Electronic Complaint to Judge Jose E. Martinez (asl) (Entered: 11/16/2010)
11/16/2010	4	Clerks Notice of Magistrate Judge Assignment to Magistrate Judge Patrick A. White. Pursuant to Administrative Order 2003-19 for a ruling on all pre-trial, non-dispositive matters and for a Report and Recommendation on any dispositive matters. Motions referred to Patrick A. White. (asl) (Entered: 11/16/2010)
12/09/2010	5	ORDER denying 2 Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis. The plaintiff shall submit the six month account statement on or before December 30, 2010. Signed by Magistrate Judge Patrick A. White on 12/8/2010. (tw) (Entered: 12/09/2010)
12/09/2010	<u>6</u>	ORDER OF INSTRUCTIONS TO PRO SE CIVIL RIGHTS LITIGANTS. Signed by Magistrate Judge Patrick A. White on 12/8/2010. (tw) (Entered: 12/09/2010)
12/22/2010	7	IMPLIED MOTION to Proceed in forma pauperis by James A. Bell. (asl) (Entered: 12/22/2010)
01/04/2011	8	ORDER Permitting Plaintiff to Proceed without Prepayment of Filing Fee but Establishing Debt to Clerk of \$350.00; granting 7 Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis. Signed by Magistrate Judge Patrick A. White on 1/4/2011. (br) (Entered: 01/04/2011)
01/11/2011	9	NOTICE of Change of Address by James A. Bell. Note: System updated. (ral) (Entered: 01/11/2011)
01/19/2011	<u>10</u>	NOTICE of Change of Address by James A. Bell. Note: System Updated. (ral) (Entered: 01/19/2011)
02/17/2011	11	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS on 42 USC 1983 case re 1 Complaint filed by James A. Bell. Recommending 1. This case shall proceed on the claim of use of excessive force against Deputies Tyrie and Magloire. 2. Service will be ordered by separate order. Objections to R&R due by 3/7/2011. Signed by Magistrate Judge Patrick A. White on 2/17/2011. (tw) (Entered: 02/17/2011)

03/02/2011	12	ORDER RE SERVICE OF PROCESS REQUIRING PERSONAL SERVICE UPON AND INDIVIDUAL. The United States Marshal shall serve a copy of the complaint and appropriate summons upon:Kevin Tyrie, Jr., Deputy Sheriff, Broward County Main Jail, 555 S.E. First Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301-2921 and Deputy Sheriff Magloire, Broward County Main Jail, 555 S.E. First Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301-2921. Signed by Magistrate Judge Patrick A. White on 3/1/2011. (tw) (Entered: 03/02/2011)
03/07/2011	<u>13</u>	Summons Issued as to Morris Magloire. (br) (Entered: 03/07/2011)
03/07/2011	<u>14</u>	Summons Issued as to Kevin Tyrie, Jr. (br) (Entered: 03/07/2011)
04/04/2011	<u>15</u>	SUMMONS (Affidavit) Returned Executed by James A. Bell. Morris Magloire served on 3/30/2011, answer due 4/20/2011. (ral) (Entered: 04/04/2011)
04/04/2011	<u>16</u>	SUMMONS (Affidavit) Returned Executed by James A. Bell. Kevin Tyrie, Jr served on 3/29/2011, answer due 4/19/2011. (ral) (Entered: 04/04/2011)
04/19/2011	<u>17</u>	ANSWER and Affirmative Defenses to Complaint by Morris Magloire, Kevin Tyrie, Jr.(Boileau, Alain) (Entered: 04/19/2011)
04/26/2011	18	SCHEDULING ORDER: Amended Pleadings due by 8/19/2011. Discovery due by 8/5/2011. Joinder of Parties due by 8/19/2011. Motions due by 9/9/2011 Signed by Magistrate Judge Patrick A. White on 4/25/2011. (tw) (Entered: 04/26/2011)
05/25/2011	<u>19</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time re 18 Scheduling Order, MOTION to Appoint Counsel by James A. Bell. Responses due by 6/13/2011 (yha) (Entered: 05/25/2011)
05/26/2011	20	ORDER denying 19 Motion for Extension of Time without prejudice; denying 19 Motion to Appoint Counsel. The motion for counsel is denied, and therefore there is no need to extend the pre-trial dates at this time. Signed by Magistrate Judge Patrick A. White on 5/26/2011. (cz) (Entered: 05/26/2011)
07/11/2011	21	MOTION to Compel <i>Plaintiff's Answers to Defendants' Interrogatories and Responses to Defendants' Request for Production</i> by Morris Magloire, Kevin Tyrie, Jr. Responses due by 7/28/2011 (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B)(Boileau, Alain) (Entered: 07/11/2011)
07/12/2011	22	ORDER granting <u>21</u> Motion to Compel, the plaintiff shall respond to all discovery requests to the best of his ability or risk sanctions Signed by Magistrate Judge Patrick A. White on 7/12/2011. (cz) (Entered: 07/12/2011)
08/16/2011	23	Defendant's MOTION to Compel <i>Compliance with Court's Paperless Order dated July 12, 2011 DE 22</i> by Morris Magloire, Kevin Tyrie, Jr. Responses due by 9/2/2011 (Schwartz, Robert) Modified text on 8/17/2011 (asl). (Entered: 08/16/2011)
08/17/2011	24	ORDER granting 23 Defendants' Motion to Compel plaintiff to file verified answers to interrogatories and answer them in a more complete manner. Further the plaintiff shall respond to defendants' requests for production or risk sanctions in this case Signed by Magistrate Judge Patrick A. White on 8/17/2011. (cz) (Entered: 08/17/2011)

09/08/2011	25	Second MOTION to Compel <i>Compliance with Court's Orders and Sanctions</i> by Morris Magloire, Kevin Tyrie, Jr. Responses due by 9/26/2011 (Schwartz, Robert) (Entered: 09/08/2011)
09/12/2011	26	ORDER deferring ruling on 25 Motion to Compel. The defendants seek sanctions and dismissal of this case. The plaintiff shall file a response to this motion with the Court on or before 9/30/11 or risk dismissal of this case Signed by Magistrate Judge Patrick A. White on 9/12/2011. (cz) (Entered: 09/12/2011)
09/28/2011	27	NOTICE by Morris Magloire, Kevin Tyrie, Jr of Plaintiff's Failure to File Pretrial Statement (Schwartz, Robert) (Entered: 09/28/2011)
09/29/2011	28	RESPONSE to Motion re <u>25</u> Second MOTION to Compel <i>Compliance with Court's Orders and Sanctions</i> filed by James A. Bell. Replies due by 10/11/2011. (yha) (Entered: 09/29/2011)
10/03/2011	29	ORDER granting in part and denying in part <u>25</u> Motion to Compel, the plaintiff has instigated this lawsuit, it is his responsibility to provide the defendants with the requested discovery, the plaintiff must review the requested discovery and make a better attempt to comply with the defendants' requests. Further the plaintiff must file a pre-trial statement forthwith Signed by Magistrate Judge Patrick A. White on 10/3/2011. (cz) (Entered: 10/03/2011)
10/07/2011	30	REPLY to Response to Motion re <u>25</u> Second MOTION to Compel <i>Compliance with Court's Orders and Sanctions</i> filed by Morris Magloire, Kevin Tyrie, Jr. (Schwartz, Robert) (Entered: 10/07/2011)
10/07/2011	31	Statement of: Pretrial by Morris Magloire, Kevin Tyrie, Jr (Schwartz, Robert) (Entered: 10/07/2011)
10/14/2011	32	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS for 1 Complaint/Petition filed by James A. Bell; adopting Report and Recommendations re 11 Report and Recommendations Signed by Judge Jose E. Martinez on 10/13/2011. (ls) (Entered: 10/14/2011)

PACER Service Center					
Transaction Receipt					
10/20/2011 15:47:49					
PACER Login:	v10006	Client Code:			
Description:	Docket Report	Search Criteria:	0:10-cv-62214-JEM		
Billable Pages:	3	Cost:	0.24		

cat / div 550 1983 Complaint Under The Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. \$ 1983	
Case # UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Judge	FILED by ACC D.C.
Motn Ifp	NOV 16 2010
(Enter the full name of the plaintiff in this action)	STEVEN M. LARRMORE CLERK U. S. DIST CT S. D. of FLA MIAMI
v.	
Deputy Kevin Tyrie Jr. # 15190 Deputy MeMorcis Magleire = 8985 Et Al	
(Above, enter the full name of the defendant(s) in this action)	

A COMPLAINT UNDER THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, 42 U.S.C. § 1983

Instructions for Filing:

a straight of the spiritual straight section in

This packet includes four copies of the complaint form and two copies of the Application to Proceed without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit. To start an action you must file an original and one copy of your complaint for the court and one copy for each defendant you name. For example, if you name two defendants, you must file the original and three copies of the complaint (a total of four) with the court. You should also keep an additional copy of the complaint for your own records. All copies of the complaint must be identical to the original.

Your complaint must be legibly handwritten or typewritten. <u>Please do not use pencil to complete these forms</u>. The plaintiff must sign and swear to the complaint. <u>If you need additional space to answer a question, use an additional blank page</u>.

Your complaint can be brought in this court only if one or more of the named defendants is located within this district. Further, it is necessary for you to file a separate complaint for each claim that you have unless they are all related to the same incident or issue.

(Rev. 09/2007) Complaint Under The Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1983

There is a filing fee of \$350.00 for this complaint to be filed. If you are unable to pay the filing fee and service costs for this action, you may petition the court to proceed in forma pauperis.

Two blank Applications to Proceed without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit for this purpose are included in this packet. Both should be completed and filed with your complaint.

You will note that you are required to give facts. THIS COMPLAINT SHOULD NOT CONTAIN LEGAL ARGUMENTS OR CITATIONS.

When these forms are completed, mail the original and the copies to the Clerk's Office of the United States District Court, Southern District of Florida, 400 North Miami Avenue, Room 8N09, Miami, Florida 33128-7788.

I. Parties

In Item A below, place your name in the first blank and place your present address in the third blank.

Α.	Name of plaintiff: Jomes A. Bell
	Inmate #: 301000107
	Address: Main Jail P.O. Box 9356
	Fort Landerdole, FL 33310
In Ite position in th names, positi	em B below, place the full name of the defendant in the first blank, his/her official se second blank, and his/her place of employment in the third blank. Use Item C for the ions, and places of employment for any additional defendants.
В.	Defendant: Kevin Tyrie Ir.
	is employed as Deputy Sheriff
	at Broward Sheriff's office /Main lail
C.	Additional Defendants: McMercis Magloire
	Departy Sheriff
	Broward sheaff's office / Man Jail

II. Statement of Claim State here as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Use as much space as you need. Attach an additional blank page if necessary.	II. Statement of Claim State here as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all a number of related claims number of related claims.
State here as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all an unmber of related claims number of related claims number of related claims.	II. Statement of Claim State here as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all a number of related claims number of related claims.
State here as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all an umber of related claims number of related claims number of related claims.	II. Statement of Claim State here as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all a number of related claims number of related claims.
State here as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all the number of related claims number on the state of the state	State here as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each defendant involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all the number of related claims number and the cite any cases or statutes.
State here as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all the number of related claims number on the state of the state	State here as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each defendant involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all the number of related claims number and the cite any cases or statutes.
State here as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all the number of related claims number on the state of the state	State here as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each defendant involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all the number of related claims number and the cite any cases or statutes.
State here as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all the number of related claims number on the control of the control	State here as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each defendant involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all the number of related claims number and the cite any cases or statutes.
Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all a	Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all a
Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all a	Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to all a
number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Use as much space as you need. Attach an additional blank page if necessary.	number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Use as much space as you need. Attach an additional blank page if necessary.
space as you need. Attach an additional blank page if necessary.	space as you need. Attach an additional blank page if necessary.
The control of the co	national blank page if necessary.

	09/2007) Complaint Under The Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1983
Ш.	Relief

no cas	State briefly exactly what you want the court do to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Cite ses or statutes.
	and provided to be awarded nominal compensator
	and punitive damages. First off my rights have been violated.
	I've been physically huch and had to have surgery on my eye.
	I've here that I all a long and any eye.
	The been through pain and sutremay. My vision isn't
	the Same anymore. I believe their mative mass intent
	the Same anymore. I believe their mative mass intent
	the same anymore. I believe their motive mas intent and involved collicus indifference to my rights. To
-	the same anymore. I believe their motive was intent and involved colling indifference to my rights. To be awarded paritive clamages of \$5,000,00 each
-	the same anymore. I believe their motive mas intent and involved collows indifference to my rights. To be awarded paritive clamages of 95,000,00 each against the two defendants, also 1/0,000,00 in compensajor,
	the same anymore. I believe their motive was intent and involved collings indifference to my rights. To be awarded paritive clamages of \$5,000,00 each

(RCV. 09/2007) Complaint Under The Civil Rights Act, 4	42 U.S.C. § 1983	
Signed this day of	November	. 20 / ව
	- James Cour	
	1	(Signature of Plaintiff)
I declare under penalty of perjury that th	ne foregoing is true and o	correct. (optional)
	Executed on: 11-	-11-10
	- Jam Bu	
	()	Signature of Plaintiff)

Statement of Claim

On Thesday March 9th, 2010 at the Bromord County Main buil in the Central Intake Bureau between the hours of 3auru to 4000 on the alpha Shift (1900-200). I was a victim of Police Brutality. I was physically and mentally abused Deputy Keven Tyric Ic. and Deputy Milharcis Magare were the Suspects in this altercation. This event happened in a Stop Scorch call in the booking area. A little after 3cm. I was experted into the booking area in front of a Strip Fearch cell by Deputy Typie. . Once we get to the front of the cell I asked him do I have to do that produce that most inmotes do when their hought here. Because usually I Know people go in their to change them. . Street Clothes to just uniform or they have to Stup down towning. bendover and hold your private "parts" up and cough. I only asked this question because I know and upon information and belief deputies inventible mistages before with this type of Situation. To my knowledge your suppose to have a certain change to be strip Searched. Also two or three years back theny imposts were awarded Money because of illegal stop sourches. Next wher I'd asked him that question Suddenly be pushed me Into the cell and I tried to walk buck forwards but he was already coming in towards me swiging Frunches at me. I was in shock and bewildered because of his rogetul reaction, because all I did was ask a question and he just come of the viciently to that time all I could do was cover up and try to block his hits. I don't remember how it happened but next thing I knew I was on the ground with lightly Typie on top of me, and then Deputy Magleire Conce in and Shorted hitting me while upony

Case 0:10-cv-62214-JEM Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docker (1/16/2010 Page 7 of/10/



DEPARTMENT OF DETENTION AND COMMUNITY CONTROL ANALE GRIEVANCE FORM

James Bell	<u> </u>	7 1212 1.3-1	March 1	1 .79 (1.77)
Inmate's Name	<u>>C/\P/_/C/\//</u> Arrest #	Cell	Facility	
	PART A - INMATE'S GRI		,	Date
I was wreafully a	ecused of dis	C. 68 VIIV	1 1111 1.128	18 Prom
I was wreightly a a Staff member i	NI INTOKE ON	al as E	CR: SULF.	The CAPCE
Pysically abused	by CADROXIMA	4014 G	OR 7 dep	atios.
I was charged	with a D.R.	and a	1.5H to C	PPCCL.
I MOUNT HILL I				
acopy of THE	commister 14	Carlo Carlos de	5//cc/	
Staff's response:				
Sergeant's response:	the second secon			
Inmate's Signature	······································			ate Signed
WHEN PART A - INMATE'S RETURN ALL OTHER COPIES	S GRIEVANCE, IS COMPLET S TO ANY STAFF MEMBER T			
You are appeal	PART B - RESPONS	SE (an 1/m	
equest form	Oddresse	الم الم الم الم الم الم الم الم الم الم 	the	
facility XDG	14301		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	THE PARTY OF THE P
Supervisor's Signature/CCN			· D	ate Signed
ТО ВЕ СОМР	PLETED IF INMATE WANTS T	O APPEAL RE	SPONSE.	
wish to appeal the response				
	Inmate's Signature		D	ate Signed
The state of the s				ate orgined
FACILIT	Y ADMINISTRATOR'S RESP	ONSE TO APPI	EAL	ate digited
FACILIT	Y ADMINISTRATOR'S RESP	ONSE TO APPI	EAL	



DEPARTMENT OF DETENTION AND COMMUNITY CONTROL

	INMATE GRIEVANCE FORM	OBO)
Pride in Service with Integrity	_	2011
V	06	
TO BE COMPLETED BY INMATE	301000107	14 : 4 2-
Lames Bell	<u>V</u>	Main 4-26-
Inmate's Name	Arrest # Cell	Facility Date
·	PART A - INMATE'S GRIEVANCE	
1 was ween for the state	Lat historyms, on order from a	is the the marriage of the second
in a moun end of a world	I was suggestly enough to approx	Aldrey Gar & Sugare S. A.
of our of them - only relieved	cone alma Drivery Type NOS.	raid = rad in Peter Const
La . Sugard & Sugarine Of	received a copy of the Ble into	xy = nsd. = 1000 +
over their whor my charge	or childer late for the life of	lin they said not that
	Professional and E los for de	
Come have a planer Diffe h	octing with du 15 land in 1200	Ye to he Guer & The Beech
de and of a second but I	amped to 1818 Set. Or Lt. Keens Dr	a regressed Pod & look the
Staff's response:	anged to ier a Sea. of Lt. Row by	
Otali d 100poillos.		
Sergeant's response:		
Sergeant's response.		$k_{2}=(k_{1}^{2})^{2}/4k_{1}^{2}$
Inmate's Signature		Date Signed
,	on animalian in completes Wees on	OBIAL (MUSTE) FORM
WHEN PART A - INMALE RETURN ALL OTHER COPIE	'S GRIEVANCE, IS COMPLETED, KEEP ORI ES TO ANY STAFF MEMBER TO BE PLACED	IN THE GRIEVANCE BOX.
1100 010-5-	PART B - RESPONSE	
oll season	use the correct	T FOLOV
addressed t	20 0 DSO	A STATE OF THE STA
		(122)
	5 7	Date Signed
Supervisor's Signature/CCN	THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF A PROPERTY OF	-
TO BE COM	IPLETED IF INMATE WANTS TO APPEAL RE	SPONSE.
I wish to appeal the response		
Wish to appear the response	Inmate's Signature	Date Signed
EACH I	TY ADMINISTRATOR'S RESPONSE TO APP	FΔI
FAULI	THE ADMINISTRATOR OF TESTONISE TO AFF	Perc d' 'S des
		AMERICAN AND AMERI
Facility Administrator's Signature		Date Signed

Case 0:49-cv-62214-JEM Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 11/16/2010 Page 9 of 10



Broward Sheriff's Office TO Be Sent TO: Department of Detention XO S. Ford Inmate Request Form

/T- b- C	*-A1 b 1A->
	leted by Inmate)
Inmate Name: James Rell	Arrest Number: 301000107
Date of Request: 4 ~ 7-16	Housing Location: %-B1-7
Date of Birth: 10 -1 - \$7	Case Number:
	ted on an "Inmate Medical Request Form".
	mpleted on a "Law Library Request Form".
	RINT YOUR REQUEST:
First off I been wrote an Grievance	
That was on the (15th) Of March, I u	vas told that I had to serve 30 days in the
Box because I was found Quilty of A D.R	- I was wrongfully accused of disobering an
order from a Staff member in Intolly and	as a result. I was physically abused by
	ed with a D.R. and (wish to Appeal) I didn't have
	ed a copy of The Committee Action Sheet. I'm
	; they said to Appeal on a I/M Regnest Form, I'm
	I head constant contect with my family and P.D.
Inmate's Signature: Jamy file	Date Signed: 4-7-10 about my case.
DO NOT MKITE RELOW THIS	LINE-FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
□ Inmate's request answered-no other action needed Deputy's Signature/CCN: "ROUTING LIST" - Inmate □ Chaplain □ Classification/Inmate Work Program □ Commissary/Inmate Banking □ Confinement Status □ Community Control □ Other (Specify); Supervisor's Signature/CCN: □ 2.2.2.9	
DATE RECEIVED:	
Completed by:	CCN: Date:

Jame's Bell 301000107 P.O.Box 9356 7C1-7 Ft. Land. FL 33310 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ MAGISTRATE JUDGE P.A. WHITE

JAMES A. BELL,

Plaintiff, :

v. : <u>REPORT OF</u>

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al., :

Defendants: :

I. <u>Introduction</u>

The <u>pro-se</u> plaintiff, James A. Bell, filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 while confined at the Ft. Lauderdale Main Jail, alleging use of unlawful force by guards. The plaintiff is proceeding <u>in forma pauperis</u>.

This civil action is before the Court for an initial screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915.

II. <u>Analysis</u>

A. Applicable Law for Screening

As amended, 28 U.S.C. §1915 reads in pertinent part as follows:

Sec. 1915 Proceedings in Forma Pauperis

* * *

(e)(2) Notwithstanding any filing fee, or any portion thereof, that may have been paid, the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that -

* * *

(B) the action or appeal -

* * *

- (i) is frivolous or malicious;
- (ii) fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted; or
- (iii) seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief.

This is a civil rights action. Such actions require the deprivation of a federally protected right by a person acting under color of state law. See 42 U.S.C. 1983; Polk County v Dodson, 454 U.S.312 (1981); Whitehorn v Harrelson, 758 F. 2d 1416, 1419 (11 Cir. 1985. The standard for determining whether a complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted is the same whether under 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(2)(B) or <u>Fed.R.Civ.P.</u> 12(b)(6) or (c). Mitchell v. Farcass, 112 F.3d 1483, 1490 (11 Cir. 1997) ("The language of section 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) tracks the language of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6)"). A complaint is "frivolous under section 1915(e) "where it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact." Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); <u>Bilal v. Driver</u>, 251 F.3d 1346, 1349 (11 Cir.), <u>cert.</u> denied, 534 U.S. 1044 (2001). Dismissals on this ground should only be ordered when the legal theories are "indisputably meritless," id., 490 U.S. at 327, or when the claims rely on factual allegations that are "clearly baseless." Denton v. Hernandez, 504 U.S. 25, 31 (1992). Dismissals for failure to state a claim are governed by the same standard as Federal Rule of Civil

Procedure 12(b)(6). Mitchell v. Farcass, 112 F.3d 1483, 1490 (11 Cir. 1997) ("The language of section 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) tracks the language of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6)"). In order to state a claim, a plaintiff must show that conduct under color of state law, complained of in the civil rights suit, violated the plaintiff's rights, privileges, or immunities under the Constitution or laws of the United States. Arrington v. Cobb County, 139 F.3d 865, 872 (11 Cir. 1998).

To determine whether a complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, the Court must engage in a two-step inquiry. First, the Court must identify the allegations in the complaint that are not entitled to the assumption of truth. Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007)). Twombly applies to §1983 prisoner actions. See Douglas v. Yates, 535 F.3d 1316, 1321 (11 Cir. 2008). These include "legal conclusions" and "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action [that are] supported by mere conclusory statements." Second, the Court must determine whether the complaint states a plausible claim for relief. Id. This is a "context-specific task that requires the reviewing court to draw on its judicial experience and common sense." The plaintiff is required to plead facts that show more than the "mere possibility of misconduct." The Court must review the factual allegations in the complaint "to determine if they plausibly suggest an entitlement to relief." When faced with alternative explanations for the alleged misconduct, the Court may exercise its judgment in determining whether plaintiff's proffered conclusion is the most plausible or whether it is more likely that no misconduct occurred.1

 $^{^{1}}$ The application of the $\underline{\text{Twombly}}$ standard was clarified in $\underline{\text{Ashcroft }v.}$ $\underline{\text{Igbal}},$ 129 S.Ct. 1937 (2009).

B. Sufficiency of the complaint

The plaintiff alleges that on March 9, 2010, he was escorted to a strip search cell in the booking area of the Broward County Main Jail by Deputy Tyrie. He questioned the deputy of the need for the search. He contends that Tyrie responded by punching him. He was thrown to the ground with Deputy Tyrie on top of him, and Deputy Magloire came in and started hitting him. He states his injuries required eye surgery and his vision is not the same. He seeks monetary damages. ²

Excessive Force

He alleges the two named deputies assaulted him without reason, resulting in an injury to his eye. An allegation of an unjustified, brutal use of force against an inmate by a guard states a claim under §1983. Perry v. Thompson, 786 F.2d 1093 (11 Cir. 1986). It is not necessary for a prison or jail official to actually participate in the use of excessive force in order to be held liable under §1983, he need only be present at the scene and fail to take steps to protect a victim from a fellow officer's use of excessive force. Fundiller v. City of Cooper City, 777 F.2d 1436, 1441-42 (11 Cir. 1985) ("an officer who is present at the scene and who fails to take reasonable steps to protect the victim of another officer's use of excessive force, can be held liable for his nonfeasance"); Harris v. Chanclor, 537 F.2d 203, 206 (5 Cir. 1976) ("a supervisory officer is liable under [Section] 1983 if he refuses to intervene where his subordinates are beating an inmate in his presence").

²The plaintiff received a disciplinary report and includes copies of his attempts to appeal a finding of guilt for disobeying an officer. He mentioned no eye injury in his grievances.

The plaintiff's claim that he was assaulted by deputies Tyrie and Magloire states a claim for use of excessive force at this preliminary stage.

III. Recommendation

- 1. This case shall proceed on the claim of use of excessive force against Deputies Tyrie and Magloire.
- 2. Service will be ordered by separate order.

Objections to this Report may be filed within fourteen days following receipt.

Dated at Miami, Florida, this 17th day of February, 2011.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

cc: James A. Bell, Pro Se
 #301000107
 Ft Lauderdale Main Jail
 Address of record

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

JAMES A. BELL,
Plaintiff,
VS.
DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al.,
Defendants.

DEFENDANTS, DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR. AND DEPUTY McMORRIS MAGLOIRE'S, ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT [DE 1]

Defendants, DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR. ("TYRIE") and DEPUTY McMORRIS MAGLOIRE ("MAGLOIRE"), by and through their undersigned counsel, and in accordance with the Report of Magistrate Judge, dated February 17, 2011 [DE 11], hereby file their Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff, JAMES A. BELL's ("BELL") Complaint [DE 1], and state:

TYRIE and MAGLOIRE deny each and every allegation contained within BELL's
 Complaint herein not specifically admitted and demand strict proof thereof.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

2. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE allege and assert that they are entitled to qualified immunity because they did not act in any way that would violate any clearly established rights guaranteed to BELL under the Constitution of the United States and/or under any statutory law, of which a reasonable person and/or reasonable law enforcement or detention officer would have known.

- 3. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE allege and assert that any alleged use of force against BELL was not applied either maliciously or sadistically for the purpose of causing any harm to BELL, but was reasonable and applied in good faith as an immediately necessary coercive measure in efforts to maintain discipline, as well as security, and to bring to an end BELL's ongoing violation, and was necessarily applied only until such time BELL's conduct abated.
- 4. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE allege and assert that the alleged actions (or lack of action) taken by them, including any alleged use of force, with regard to BELL, were reasonable upon objective evaluation and not so grossly disproportionate to the need to take those actions so as to warrant recovery pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 5. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE allege and assert that any alleged use of force against BELL was justifiable, as enumerated in § 776.012, Fla.Stat., in self defense and in the protection of others, including BELL, or other detention personnel.
- 6. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE allege and assert that, at all times material, they used only such force as was reasonable or necessary under the circumstances, and acted in pursuit of a lawful and legal duty.
- 7. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE allege and assert that BELL's conduct is the sole cause of his alleged injuries and damages, if any.
 - 8. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE allege and assert that BELL has failed to mitigate his damages.
- 9. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE allege and assert that BELL has failed to exhaust all required presuit remedies, including but not limited to, all correctional administrative procedures.
- 10. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE allege and assert that, at all times material, they were reasonably relying upon information obtained in their capacity as detention officers and/or were reasonably relying upon

the good faith representations of, and information provided by, fellow law enforcement and/or detention

officers.

DEMAND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES

11. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE further demand reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C.

§1988, should they prevail in this action.

GENERAL DENIAL

12. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE further deny each and very other allegation of BELL's Complaint

herein not specifically admitted.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

13. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE reserve the right to amend and supplement these affirmative

defenses adding such affirmative defenses as may appear to be appropriate upon further discovery being

conducted in this case.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered BELL's Complaint, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE pray it be

dismissed with prejudice and costs and fees awarded to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE.

Dated: April 19, 2011

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

Respectfully submitted,

s/Alain E. Boileau

ALAIN E. BOILEAU (0148598)

aeb@mcintoshschwartz.com

McINTOSH SCHWARTZ, P.L.

888 Southeast 3rd Avenue, Suite 500

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

Telephone:

(954) 523-5885

Facsimile:

(954) 760-9531

Counsel for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 19, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk

of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all

counsel of record or pro se parties identified on the attached Service List in the manner specified, either via

transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in some other authorized manner for

those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive electronically Notices of Electronic Filing.

s/Alain E. Boileau

ALAIN E. BOILEAU

BELL v. DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al. CASE NO: 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

Service List

James A. Bell
DC #L79545
Everglades Correctional Institution
1599 S.W. 187 Avenue
Miami, FL 33194 *Pro Se* Plaintiff
[via regular U.S. Mail]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ MAGISTRATE JUDGE P. A. WHITE

JAMES A. BELL, :

Plaintiff, :

ORDER SCHEDULING PRETRIAL

v. : <u>PROCEEDINGS WHEN PLAINTIFF</u>
IS PROCEEDING PRO SE

DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al., :

Defendants.

The plaintiff in this case is incarcerated, without counsel, so that it would be difficult for either the plaintiff or the defendants to comply fully with the pretrial procedures required by Local Rule 16.1 of this Court. It is thereupon

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

- 1. All discovery methods listed in Rule 26(a), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, shall be completed by **August 5, 2011**. This shall include all motions relating to discovery.
- 2. All motions to join additional parties or amend the pleadings shall be filed by **August 19, 2011**.
- 3. All motions to dismiss and/or for summary judgment shall be filed by **September 9, 2011**.
- 4. On or before **September 23, 2011**, the plaintiff shall file with the Court and serve upon counsel for the defendants a document called "Pretrial Statement." The Pretrial Statement shall contain the following things:

- (a) A brief general statement of what the case is about;
- (b) A written statement of the facts that will be offered by oral or documentary evidence at trial; this means that the plaintiff must explain what he intends to prove at trial and how he intends to prove it;
- (c) A list of all exhibits to be offered into evidence at the trial of the case;
- (d) A list of the full names and addresses of places of employment for all the non-inmate witnesses that the plaintiff intends to call (the plaintiff must notify the Court of any changes in their addresses);
- (e) A list of the full names, inmate numbers, and places of incarceration of all the inmate witness that plaintiff intends to call (the plaintiff must notify the Court of any changes in their places of incarceration); and
- (f) A summary of the testimony that the plaintiff expects <u>each</u> of his witnesses to give.
- 5. On or before **October 7, 2011,** defendants shall file and serve upon plaintiff a "Pretrial Statement," which shall comply with paragraph 4(a)-(f).
- 6. Failure of the parties to disclose fully in the Pretrial Statement the substance of the evidence to be offered at trial may result in the exclusion of that evidence at the trial. Exceptions will be (1) matters which the Court determines were not discover-

able at the time of the pretrial conference, (2) privileged matters, and (3) matters to be used solely for impeachment purposes.

- 7. If the plaintiff fails to file a Pretrial Statement, as required by paragraph 4 of this order, paragraph 5 of this order shall be suspended and the defendants shall notify the Court of plaintiff's failure to comply. The plaintiff is cautioned that failure to file the Pretrial Statement may result in dismissal of this case for lack of prosecution.
- 8. The plaintiff shall serve upon defense counsel, at the address given for him/her in this order, a copy of every pleading, motion, memorandum, or other paper submitted for consideration by the Court and shall include on the original document filed with the Clerk of the Court a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the pleading, motion, memorandum, or other paper was mailed to counsel. All pleadings, motions, memoranda, or other papers shall be filed with the Clerk and must include a certificate of service or they will be disregarded by the Court.
- 9. A pretrial conference may be set pursuant to Local Rule 16.1 of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, after the pretrial statements have been filed. Prior to such a conference, the parties or their counsel shall meet in a good faith effort to:
 - (a) discuss the possibility of settlement;
 - (b) stipulate (agree) in writing to as many facts and issues as possible to avoid unnecessary evidence;
 - (c) examine all exhibits and documents proposed to be used at the trial, except

that impeachment documents need not be revealed;

- (d) mark all exhibits and prepare an exhibit list;
- (e) initial and date opposing party's
 exhibits;
- (f) prepare a list of motions or other
 matters which require Court attention;
 and
- (g) discuss any other matters that may help in concluding this case.
- 10. All motions filed by defense counsel must include a proposed order for the undersigned Magistrate Judge's signature.

DONE AND ORDERED at Miami, Florida, this 25th day of April, 2011.

s/Patrick A. White UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

cc: James A. Bell, Pro Se
 DC #L79545
 Everglades Correctional Institution
 1599 S.W. 187 Avenue
 Miami, FL 33194

Alain E. Boileau, Esq. McIntosh, Schwartz, P.L. 888 S.E. 3rd Avenue Suite 500 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

Hon. Jose E. Martinez, United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

JAMES A. BELL,
Plaintiff,
vs.
DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al.,
Defendants.

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL PLAINTIFF'S ANSWERS TO DEFENDANTS' INTERROGATORIES AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

Defendants, DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR. ("TYRIE") and DEPUTY McMORRIS MAGLOIRE ("MAGLOIRE"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 37, and in accordance with Local Rule 26.1(H), for an order compelling discovery from Plaintiff, JAMES A. BELL ("BELL"). By the filing and signing of this motion, the undersigned counsel for TYRIE and MAGLOIRE certifies pursuant to Local Rule 26.1(I) that he has been unable to resolve the disputed discovery matters extrajudicially, notwithstanding a good-faith effort to do so.

Introduction

TYRIE and MAGLOIRE served BELL with their First Set of Interrogatories and First Request for Production of Documents, over certificate of service dated May 9, 2011. However, as of the date of this motion, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE have received no answers or responses to the aforementioned written discovery. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE are filing concurrently with this motion a copy of their First Set of Interrogatories and First Request for Production (appended hereto

as **Exhibit A** and **Exhibit B** respectively). Additionally, in accordance with Local Rule 26.1(H)(2) and pursuant to BELL's complete failure to respond to the discovery sought to be compelled, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE have not quoted verbatim each discovery request in the following Memorandum of Law.

Memorandum of Law

BELL has outright failed to respond to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's First Interrogatories and First Request for Production of Documents. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33, governing interrogatories to parties:

The party upon whom the interrogatories have been served shall serve a copy of the answers, and objections if any, within 30 days after the service of interrogatories. A shorter or longer time may be directed by the court or, in the absence of such an order, agreed to in writing by the parties subject to Rule 29.

Fed.R.Civ.P. 33(b)(3) (emphasis added). Similarly, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34, governing the production of documents:

The party upon whom the request [for production] is served shall serve a written response within 30 days after the service of the request. A shorter or longer time may be directed by the court or, in the absence of such an order, agreed to in writing by the parties, subject to Rule 29. The response shall state, with respect to each item or category, that inspection and related activities will be permitted as requested, unless the request is objected to, in which event the reasons for the objection shall be stated.

Fed.R.Civ.P. 34(b) (emphasis added). BELL has failed to respond to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's written discovery served May 9, 2011, which became due on June 13, 2011. Further, counsel for TYRIE and MAGLOIRE served BELL with correspondence dated June 21, 2011 advising of BELL's failure to serve his answers and responses to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's written discovery and providing BELL until July 1, 2011 to serve his answers and responses, to no avail. To date, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE have not received any documents responsive to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Request for Production, nor received BELL's Answers to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Initial Interrogatories propounded by TYRIE and MAGLOIRE on May 9, 2011. Further, BELL has not

requested any additional time to provide his responses to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's written

discovery. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE are entitled to answers and responses to their Rule 33 and Rule

34 discovery requests, in order to prepare and conduct further discovery in this matter.

Consequently, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE seek an Order from the Court compelling BELL to serve

verified answers to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's First Interrogatories, and provide responses to

TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's First Request for Production, both served over certificate of service

dated May 9, 2011.

WHEREFORE, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE respectfully request the Court grant relief in

accordance with the arguments and authority presented herein.

Dated: July 11, 2010

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

Respectfully submitted,

s/Alain E. Boileau

ROBERT H. SCHWARTZ (301167)

rhs@mcintoshschwartz.com

ALAIN E. BOILEAU (0148598)

aeb@mcintoshschwartz.com

McINTOSH SCHWARTZ, P.L.

888 SE Third Ave., Suite 500

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

Telephone: (954) 523-5885

Facsimile:

(954) 760-9531

Attorneys for DEFENDANTS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on <u>July 11, 2011</u>, I electronically filed the foregoing document with

the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this

day on all counsel of record or pro se parties identified on the attached Service List in the manner

specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in some

other authorized manner for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive electronically

Notices of Electronic Filing.

s/Alain E. Boileau
ALAIN E. BOILEAU

.

BELL v. DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al. CASE NO: 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

Service List

James A. Bell DC #L79545 Everglades Correctional Institution 1599 S.W. 187 Avenue Miami, FL 33194 *Pro Se* Plaintiff [via regular U.S. Mail]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

JAMES A. BELL,
Plaintiff,
vs.
DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al.,
Defendants.

DEFENDANTS DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR. AND DEPUTY McMORRIS MAGLOIRE'S INITIAL INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF, JAMES A. BELL

Defendants, DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR. ("TYRIE") and DEPUTY McMORRIS MAGLOIRE ("MAGLOIRE"), by and through their undersigned counsel hereby propound the attached Interrogatories to Plaintiff, JAMES A. BELL ("BELL") to be answered under oath, in writing, within thirty (30) days and in accordance with Rule 26 and Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 26.1:

DEFENDANTS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF, JAMES A. BELL

1. Please provide the name, address, telephone number, place of employment and job title of any person who has, claims to have or whom you believe may have knowledge or information pertaining to any fact alleged in the pleadings (as defined in Fed.R.Civ.P. 7(a)) filed in this action, or any fact underlying the subject matter of this action, including any facts surrounding your knowledge of the incident prior to your arrest. If any person listed is currently incarcerated, please list their name, inmate number and place of incarceration.

2. Please state the specific nature and substance of the knowledge that you believe the person(s) identified in your response to Interrogatory No. 1 may have.

3. Please provide the name of each person whom you may use as an expert witness at trial.

4. Please state in detail the substance of the opinions to be provided by each person whom you my use as an expert witness at trial.

5. Please state each item of damage for which you claim, and include in your answer: the count to which the item of damages relates; the category into which each item of damages falls, i.e. general damages, special or consequential damages (such as lost profits), interest, and any other relevant categories; the factual basis for each item of damages; and an explanation of how you computed each item of damages, including any mathematical formula used.

8. Regarding the allegations in your complaint, and/or any fact alleged in any pleading (as defined in Fed.R.Civ.P. 7(a), please list each violation you believe each Defendant breached, how and when the alleged violation occurred.

9. Describe in detail how the incident described in the Complaint happened, describing all events in complete detail, stating in your answer all the events relating thereto and in sequential order, including all actions taken by you, if any, to prevent the incident.

10. Please state where you were and with whom you had been for the twenty-four (24) hours immediately preceding the alleged incident sued upon. Please state whether you consumed any alcoholic beverages, narcotic drugs and/or prescription drugs within twenty-four (24) hours immediately preceding the incident complained of, and, if so, please state when, where, and with whom, and the type of alcoholic beverage, narcotic drug and/or prescription drug consumed and the amount thereof.

11. On the day you allege the incident described in your Complaint happened, please state the charge for which you were arrested and booked into the Broward County Main Jail, the name of the officer who made the arrest, the agency who arrested you and the date and time of your arrest.

12. Other than the arrest for which you were booked on or about March 9, 2010, the subject of your Complaint, please state the date of any and all prior or subsequent arrest(s), the name(s) of the officer(s) who made each arrest, and the name of the agency(s) who arrested you, the crime(s) which you were accused, and the final disposition of any action that was instituted on any charge(s).

13. Please state if you have been confined to any jail or prison facility *prior to* or *subsequent to* this incident. If so, when were you previously or subsequently confined, where for what and how long was the length of your confinement?

14. List each item or damage, other than loss of income or earning capacity, that you claim to have incurred as a result of the alleged incident described in the complaint, giving for each item, the date incurred, the name and business address of the person or entity to whom each was paid or is owed, and the goods or services for which each was incurred, including any and all medical expenses claimed by you.

15. Were you charged with any disciplinary action arising out of the alleged incident described in the complaint? If so, what was the nature of the charge; what plea or answer, if any, did you enter to the charge; was any written report prepared by anyone regarding this charge, and if so, what is the name and address of the person or entity that prepared the report; do you have a copy of the report; and was there a hearing or other proceeding on the charge recorded in any manner?

16. Have you ever been convicted of a crime, and if so, how many times and state specifically as to each conviction the name of the crime, the date of the conviction, the name of the court in which you were convicted, the city, county, and state of court of such conviction, and the penalty or punishment imposed by the court as to each conviction.

17. Do you contend that you have lost any income, benefits, or earning capacity in the past or future as a result of the alleged incident described in the complaint? If so, state the nature of the income, benefits, or earning capacity, and the amount and the method that you used in computing the amount.

18. List the names, business addresses, dates of employment, immediate supervisors and rates of pay regarding all employers, including self-employment, for whom you have worked in the past 10 years, the reasons for leaving each employment and if you have ever been terminated from any employment listed.

19. Please provide the names of all treating medical provider(s), including psychologists, psychiatrists and any and all mental health care providers, including their business address, dates of services, total amount of medical bills incurred to each provider, treatment provided and performed, amount owed, if any, any co-payments incurred, the amount covered by insurance, and if you are still treating with said provider(s).

20. Do you still have any complaints that you attribute to the incident which is the subject of your Complaint? If so, for each complaint state: a description; whether the complaint is subsiding, remaining the same, or becoming worse; and the frequency and duration.

21. Please state if you have ever been a party, either plaintiff or defendant, in a lawsuit other than the present matter, and if so, state whether you were plaintiff or defendant, the nature of the action, and the date and court in which such suit was filed.

22. Please state your full name, whether or not you have used any other name than the above, and/or used a different spelling of the name and, if so, state such names in full and state your exact date and place of birth, your present address, all addresses you have lived at for the past 10 years including dates at each address, your social security number and your drivers license number.

23. Did you do anything during this incident to resist, either physically or verbally, and if so, how much resistance did you use during the said arrest or procedure?

	JAMES A. BELL
STATE OF FLORIDA	
COUNTY OF	
On this day of	, 2011, before me, the undersigned Notary
Public of the State of Florida, personally appeared	who was
personally known to me or who has produced	as identification, who did
take an oath and whose name is subscribed to the w	ithin instrument and she/he acknowledged that
she/he executed it.	
	NOTARY PUBLIC
	PRINTED NAME OF NOTARY PUBLIC
	(Seal)

Dated: May 9, 2011

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT H. SCHWARTZ (301167)

rhs@mcintoshschwartz.com

ALAIN E. BOILEAU (0148598)

aeb@mcintoshschwartz.com

McINTOSH SCHWARTZ, P.L.

888 SE Third Ave., Suite 500

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

Telephone: (954) 523-5885 Facsimile: (954) 760-9531

Attorneys for DEFENDANTS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by Regular

U.S. Mail on May 9, 2011, on all counsel or parties of record on the attached service list.

ALAIN E. BOILEAU

BELL v. DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al. CASE NO: 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

Service List

James A. Bell
DC #L79545
Everglades Correctional Institution
1599 S.W. 187 Avenue
Miami, FL 33194
Pro Se Plaintiff
[via regular U.S. Mail]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

JAMES A. BELL,
Plaintiff,
vs.
DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al.,
Defendants.

<u>DEFENDANTS DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR. AND DEPUTY McMORRIS</u> <u>MAGLOIRE'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION</u> <u>TO PLAINTIFF, JAMES A. BELL</u>

Defendants, DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR. ("TYRIE") and DEPUTY McMORRIS MAGLOIRE ("MAGLOIRE"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby request pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34 and Local Rule 26.1, that Plaintiff, JAMES A. BELL ("BELL"), respond to these requests and produce the following documents to undersigned counsel within thirty (30) days of the service of these requests. Please note that BELL is under a continuing duty to seasonably supplement the production with documents obtained subsequent to the service of his responses, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e).

- 1. Any and all medical reports prepared by BELL's treating physicians relating to any alleged conditions alleged to be caused by the incident at issue in this lawsuit, including records of any psychologists, psychiatrists or any other health care provider who have treated him for any psychological injuries, including but not limited to, mental pain and suffering and mental anguish.
- 2. Any and all documents concerning your complete medical background, including, medical records, hospital records, surgical records, mental illness records, financial records, bills,

invoices, writings, notes or memoranda relating to all of BELL's physical, medical and/or mental history, including but not limited to those doctors, nurses, practitioners, hospitals, clinics, institutions or other health case providers or third party private or governmental health or accident insurers;

- for a period of ten years before and up to the date of the incident alleged in your Complaint; and
- b. for a period of time commencing on the date of the first occurrence of misconduct as alleged in your Complaint up to and

including the present date and throughout this litigation.

- 3. Any and all documents reflecting expenses, other than medical expenses, allegedly incurred as a result of the incident at issue in this lawsuit in your possession and/or control.
- 4. Any and all statements of TYRIE and/or his agents obtained by you or your attorneys, recorded or written, at the time of or subsequent to the subject incident.
- 5. Any and all statements of MAGLOIRE and/or his agents obtained by you or your attorneys, recorded or written, at the time of or subsequent to the subject incident.
 - 6. Any and all statements of witnesses relating to facts or issues involved in this lawsuit.
- Any and all photographs, charts, diagrams, documents, and other physical evidence in BELL's possession and/or control regarding this incident.
- 8. Any and all documents created by BELL, or his agents, relating to any issue in this lawsuit, including those documents in BELL's possession and/or control.
 - 9. Any and all Grievance Forms pertaining to any issue involved in this lawsuit.
- 10. Any and all Administrative Responses to any and all Grievance Forms pertaining to any issue involved in this lawsuit.
- 11. Any and all Grievance Inmate Appeals pertaining to any issue involved in this lawsuit.

- 12. Any and all findings from any Grievance Forms/Disciplinary Review dispositions pertaining to any issue involved in this lawsuit.
 - 13. Any and all Inmate Request Forms pertaining to any issue involved in this lawsuit.
- 14. Any and all Administrative Responses to any Inmate Request Forms pertaining to any issue involved in this lawsuit.
- 15. Any and all Complaints to Broward Sheriff's Office Department of Detention Command Staff pertaining to any issue involved in this lawsuit.
- 16. Any and all reports pertaining to any issue involved in this lawsuit, including any reports from any expert witness(es) who will testify at trial expert witness(es) who will testify at trial
- 17. Any statement(s) given to any party regarding this subject matter in your possession and/or control.
- 18. Any statement(s) given by BELL to any member of the Broward Sheriff's Office Department of Detention (Broward County Jail) pertaining to subject incident.
- 19. Any statement(s) given by BELL to any member of the Broward Sheriff's Office pertaining to subject incident.
- 20. Any statement(s) given by BELL to any member of the Broward Sheriff's Office Department of Professional Compliance/Internal Affairs pertaining to subject incident.
- 21. Any statement(s) given by BELL to any member of the news media regarding the subject incident.
- 22. Any and all statements, writings, recordings and/or correspondence between BELL and any other party and/or witness regarding the subject incident in your possession and/or control.
- 23. Any and all statements, writings, recordings and/or correspondence between BELL and any witness to this incident regarding the subject incident in your possession and/or control.
 - 24. Any and all documents pertaining to any lawsuits, claims, settlement agreements,

settlements or attempted settlements, including sworn statements or depositions, for any claims brought by or on behalf of yourself against any police officer, agency or department within the past ten years in your possession and/or control.

- 25. All records concerning your criminal background, including any arrests or convictions, in this country or any other country, currently in your possession and/or control.
- 26. Any and all reports pertaining to any issue involved in this lawsuit, including any Incident Reports, Offense Reports, Miscellaneous Incident Cards, FI Cards, Notices to Appear, Probable Cause Affidavits currently within your possession and/or control.
- 27. Any and all reports relating to any *prior or subsequent arrests*, including any Incident Reports, Offense Reports, Miscellaneous Incident Cards, FI Cards, Notices to Appear, Probable Cause Affidavits currently within your possession and/or control.

Dated: May 9, 2011

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

Respectfully submitted,

RØBERT H. SCHWARTZ (301167)

rhs@mcintoshschwartz.com

ALAIN E. BOILEAU (0148598)

aeb@mcintoshschwartz.com

McINTOSH SCHWARTZ, P.L.

888 SE Third Ave., Suite 500

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

(954) 523-5885

Telephone:

Facsimile:

(954) 760-9531

Attorneys for DEFENDANTS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by Regular

U.S. Mail on May 9, 2011, on all counsel or parties of record on the attached service list.

BELL v. DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al. CASE NO: 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

Service List

James A. Bell
DC #L79545
Everglades Correctional Institution
1599 S.W. 187 Avenue
Miami, FL 33194
Pro Se Plaintiff
[via regular U.S. Mail]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

JAMES A. BELL,
Plaintiff,
vs.
DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al.,
Defendants.

DEFENDANTS RENEWED MOTION TO COMPEL AND MOTION FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S PAPERLESS ORDER DATED JULY 12, 2011 [DE 22]

Defendants, DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR. ("TYRIE") and DEPUTY McMORRIS MAGLOIRE ("MAGLOIRE"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby file their Renewed Motion to Compel and Motion to Compel Compliance from Plaintiff, JAMES A. BELL ("BELL") with the Court's paperless Order, dated July 12, 2011 [DE 22], and state as follows:

- 1. On May 9, 2011, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE served BELL with their Initial Interrogatories and First Request for Production of Documents. BELL's answers to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Initial Interrogatories and responses to Request for Production became due on June 13, 2011, however as of June 13, 2011, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE had not received any documents responsive to the aforementioned written discovery.
- 2. On July 11, 2011, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE filed their Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Responses to Defendants' Request for Production, [DE 21].

- 3. On July 12, 2011, this Court granted TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Motion and ordered, "[t]he plaintiff shall respond to all discovery requests to the best of his ability or risk sanctions." [DE 22].
- 4. On August 11, 2011, BELL served his non-verified Answers to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Initial Interrogatories¹, however failed to serve responses to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Request for Production and failed to provide any documents responsive to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's First Request for Production of Documents, served May 9, 2011.
- 5. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE seek an Order from the Court compelling BELL to serve his verified answers to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Initial Interrogatories, to serve responses to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Request for Production and to provide any documents responsive to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's First Request for Production of Documents, served May 9, 2011 or in the alternative, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE seek an Order from the Court striking BELL's pleadings and dismissing this action with prejudice.

WHEREFORE, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE respectfully request the Court grant relief in accordance with the arguments and authority presented herein as to the discovery matters in dispute.

BELL's Answers to Defendants' Interrogatory Numbers 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 19 are inadequate and incomplete and will be addressed in a subsequent Motion to Compel Better Answers if the issues cannot be resolved between the parties prior to filing a Motion.

Dated: August 16, 2011

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

Respectfully submitted,

s/Robert H. Schwartz

ROBERT H. SCHWARTZ (301167)

rhs@mcintoshschwartz.com

McINTOSH SCHWARTZ, P.L.

888 SE Third Avenue, Suite 500

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

Telephone:

(954) 660-9888

Facsimile:

(954) 760-9531

Attorneys for DEFENDANTS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 16, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing document with

the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this

day on all counsel of record or pro se parties identified on the attached Service List in the manner

specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in some

other authorized manner for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive electronically

Notices of Electronic Filing.

s/Robert H. Schwartz

ROBERT H. SCHWARTZ

-3

BELL v. DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al. CASE NO: 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

Service List

James A. Bell DC #L79545 Everglades Correctional Institution 1599 S.W. 187 Avenue Miami, FL 33194 *Pro Se* Plaintiff [via regular U.S. Mail]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

JAMES A. BELL,
Plaintiff,
vs.
DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al.,
Defendants

DEFENDANTS' SECOND RENEWED MOTION TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S PAPERLESS ORDERS DATED JULY 12, 2011 [DE 22] AND AUGUST 17, 2011 [DE 24] AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

Defendants, DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR. ("TYRIE") and DEPUTY McMORRIS MAGLOIRE ("MAGLOIRE"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby file their Second Renewed Motion to Compel Compliance from Plaintiff, JAMES A. BELL ("BELL") with the Court's Orders, dated July 12, 2011 [DE 22] and August 17, 2011 [DE 24], and request sanctions, stating as follows:

- 1. On May 9, 2011, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE served BELL with their Initial Interrogatories and First Request for Production of Documents. BELL's answers to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Initial Interrogatories and responses to Request for Production became due on June 13, 2011, however as of June 13, 2011, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE had not received any documents responsive to the aforementioned written discovery.
- On July 11, 2011, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE filed their Motion to Compel BELL's
 Answers to Initial Interrogatories and Responses to First Request for Production, [DE 21].

- 3. On July 12, 2011, this Court granted TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Motion and ordered, "[t]he plaintiff shall respond to all discovery requests to the best of his ability or risk sanctions." [DE 22].
- 4. On August 11, 2011, BELL served his non-verified Answers to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Initial Interrogatories which Interrogatory Numbers 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 were inadequate and incomplete. BELL *still* failed to serve responses to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Request for Production and failed to provide any documents responsive to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's First Request for Production of Documents, served May 9, 2011.
- 5. On August 17, 2011, this Court granted TYRIE and MAGLIORE's Motion [DE 23] and ordered, "[p]laintiff to file verified answers to interrogatories and answer them in a more complete manner. Further the plaintiff shall respond to defendants' requests for production or risk sanctions in this case." [DE 24].
- 6. As of the date of the filing of this Motion, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE have not received BELL's better answers to TYRIE and McMORRIS' Interrogatory Numbers 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16, nor non-verified answers to Defendants' Interrogatories, despite the undersigned counsel attempting to resolve the outstanding discovery issues pursuant to Local Rule 26.1(I).¹
- 7. BELL has outright failed to respond to TYRIE and McMORRIS' Request for Production, despite the Court's Order mandating same on two separate occasions and has failed to provide better answers to TYRIE and McMORRIS' Interrogatory Numbers 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 despite the Court mandating same.

The undersigned sent correspondence pursuant to Local Rule 26.1(I) to BELL on or about August 16, 2011, in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issue raised herein, better answers to Interrogatories and verified answers to Interrogatories.

Case 0:10-cv-62214-JEM Document 25 Entered on FLSD Docket 09/08/2011 Page 3 of 5

8. TYRIE and McMORRIS are entitled to complete answers to their Rule 33 Initial

Interrogatories and entitled to responses and documents responsive, if any, to their Rule 34 Request

for Production.

9. TYRIE and McMORRIS seek an Order from the Court awarding sanctions by

striking BELL's pleadings and dismissing this action with prejudice for his failure to comply with

this Courts Orders [DE 22] and [DE 24], failing to provide TYRIE and McMORRIS complete

responses to Rule 33 and failing to respond entirely to TYRIE and McMORRIS' Rule 34 discovery,

to which they are entitled.

WHEREFORE, TYRIE and McMORRIS respectfully request the Court grant relief in

accordance with the arguments and authority presented herein as to the discovery matters in dispute.

Dated: September 8, 2011

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

Respectfully submitted,

s/Robert H. Schwartz

ROBERT H. SCHWARTZ (301167)

rhs@mcintoshschwartz.com

McINTOSH SCHWARTZ, P.L.

888 SE Third Avenue, Suite 500

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

Telephone:

(954) 660-9888

Facsimile:

(954) 760-9531

Attorneys for DEFENDANTS

-3-

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on <u>September 8, 2011</u>, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all counsel of record or pro se parties identified on the attached Service List in the manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in some other authorized manner for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive electronically Notices of Electronic Filing.

s/Robert H. Schwartz
ROBERT H. SCHWARTZ

BELL v. DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al. CASE NO: 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

Service List

James A. Bell
DC #L79545
Everglades Correctional Institution
1599 S.W. 187 Avenue
Miami, FL 33194 *Pro Se* Plaintiff
[via regular U.S. Mail]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

JAMES A. BELL,	
Plaintiff,	
VS.	
DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al.,	
Defendants.	

<u>DEFENDANTS, DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR. AND DEPUTY McMORRIS</u> <u>MAGLOIRE'S NOTICE OF PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO FILE PRETRIAL</u> STATEMENT

Defendants, DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR. ("TYRIE") and DEPUTY McMORRIS MAGLOIRE ("MAGLOIRE"), by and through their undersigned counsel, and pursuant to the Court's Order Scheduling Pretrial Proceedings When Plaintiff is Proceeding Pro Se, dated April 26, 2011 [DE 18], hereby inform the Court that Plaintiff, JAMES A. BELL ("BELL") has failed to file his Pretrial Statement on or before September 23, 2011:

- 1. The Court's Scheduling Order [DE 18] provides, in pertinent part, the following instructions: "[i]f the plaintiff fails to file a Pretrial Statement, as required by paragraph 4 of this order, paragraph 5 of this order shall be suspended and the defendants shall notify the Court of plaintiff's failure to comply. The plaintiff is cautioned that failure to file the Pretrial Statement may result in dismissal of this case for lack of prosecution."
- 2. Pursuant to the Court's aforementioned Order, BELL was required to file with the Court and serve upon counsel for TYRIE and MAGLIORE his Pretrial Statement on or before September 23, 2011.

3. As of the date of this Notice, and upon a review of the docket by undersigned

counsel, BELL has failed to either file or serve his Pretrial Statement.

Dated: September 28, 2011

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

Respectfully submitted,

s/Robert H. Schwartz

ROBERT H. SCHWARTZ (301167)

rhs@mcintoshschwartz.com

McINTOSH SCHWARTZ, P.L.

888 Southeast 3rd Avenue, Suite 500

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

Telephone:

(954) 660-9888

Facsimile:

(954) 760-9531

Counsel for DEFENDANTS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 28, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing document

with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being

served this day on all counsel of record or pro se parties identified on the attached Service List in the

manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in

some other authorized manner for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive

electronically Notices of Electronic Filing.

s/Robert H. Schwartz

ROBERT H. SCHWARTZ

-2

BELL v. DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al. CASE NO: 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

Service List

James A. Bell
DC #L79545
Everglades Correctional Institution
1599 S.W. 187 Avenue
Miami, FL 33194
Pro Se Plaintiff
[via regular U.S. Mail]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 10 CV 62214 JEM

The attached hand-written document has been scanned and is also available in the SUPPLEMENTAL PAPER FILE

Southern District of Florida

FILED by D.C.

SEP 2 9 2011

Case Name: Bell v. Tyrie et al/#:0:10-Cv-628141KUSMIST. CT.

Motion In Response To ReptrectiveD

Dated: September 12,2011

SEP 27 2011

EVERGLADES C.I.

I've complied with everything the Defendants asked for . They've sent me the same documents over and over and I've answered and followed through with each measure. As you know I am incarcerated and without counsel and I'm trying at the best of my knowledge to proceed in pro-se until I can set an attorney on my side. I've Sent all my exhibits, and Zive also Exhausted my Remedies. I was brutally beaten and in result z was sent to an outside hospital for which I had to undergo surgery on my left eye. In my Current situation I'm incapable of retrieving some of the documents the Defendants Atterney's are asking for. These officers violated my rights, privileges and immunities under the Constitution of the United States. They both auted under color of state law. Kevin Tyrie, Jr. and Deputy Memornis Maglaires' employer has everything I've sent and then some.

Respectfully, Jony Inc

3312847716

Monda

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

JAMES A. BELL,
Plaintiff,
vs.
DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al.,
Defendants.

<u>DEFENDANTS' REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANS' SECOND</u> <u>RENEWED MOTION TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S</u> PAPERLESS ORDERS DATED JULY 12, 2011 [DE 22] AND AUGUST 17, 2011 [DE 24]

Defendants, DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR. ("TYRIE") and DEPUTY McMORRIS MAGLOIRE ("MAGLOIRE"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby file their Reply to Plaintiff, JAMES A. BELL ("BELL") Response to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Second Renewed Motion to Compel Compliance with the Court's Orders, dated July 12, 2011 [DE 22] and August 17, 2011 [DE 24], and states as follows:

Introduction

This action arises out of the arrest of BELL on March 9, 2010. As a result of BELL's arrest, he filed a Complaint against TYRIE and MAGLOIRE for alleged constitutional violations pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, specifically, the alleged use of excessive force. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE served written discovery to BELL, which as of the date of TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Reply, BELL has failed to properly respond to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's discovery, has failed to comply with this Court's two (2) previous Orders compelling same and has failed to file a Pretrial Statement pursuant to this Court's Order Scheduling Pretrial Proceedings

When Plaintiff is Proceeding Pro Se, dated April 26, 2011 [DE 18]. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Reply demonstrates that:

One, BELL has outright failed to provide responses to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Request for Production;

Two, BELL has failed to comply with this Court's paperless Order, dated July 12, 2011 [DE 22];

Three, BELL has failed to provide better answers to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Initial Interrogatories;

Four, BELL has failed to comply with this Court's paperless Order, dated August 17, 2011 [DE 24];

Five, BELL's Response to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Motion to Compel dated September 29, 2011 [DE 2] provides false information that "he has complied with everything the Defendants asked for...." "I've answered and followed through with each measure."

I. <u>BELL has outright failed to provide responses and failed to provide documents responsive to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's First Request for Production dated May 9, 2011</u>

On May 9, 2011, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE served BELL with their Initial Interrogatories and First Request for Production of Documents. BELL's answers to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Initial Interrogatories and responses to Request for Production became due on June 13, 2011, however as of June 13, 2011, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE had not received any documents responsive to the aforementioned written discovery.

II. BELL has failed to comply with this Court's paperless Order, dated July 12, 2011 [DE 22]

On July 11, 2011, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE filed their Motion to Compel BELL's Answers to Initial Interrogatories and Responses to First Request for Production, [DE 21]. On July 12,

2011, this Court granted TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Motion and ordered, "[t]he plaintiff shall respond to all discovery requests to the best of his ability or risk sanctions." [DE 22].

III. <u>BELL has failed to provide better answers to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Initial Interrogatories;</u>

BELL served his non-verified Answers to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Initial Interrogatories on August 11, 2011. BELL's Answers to Interrogatory Numbers 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 were inadequate and incomplete. Although BELL served incomplete non-executed Answers to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Interrogatories, BELL still failed to serve his responses to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Request for Production and failed to provide any documents responsive to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's First Request for Production of Documents.

IV. BELL has failed to comply with this Court's paperless Order, dated August 17, 2011 [DE 23]

On August 16, 2011, TYRIE and MAGLOIRE again filed their Motion to Compel BELL's responses to Request for Production and better answers to Interrogatories [DE 23]. On August 17, 2011, this Court granted TYRIE and MAGLIORE's Motion [DE 23] and ordered, "[p]laintiff to file verified answers to interrogatories and answer them in a more complete manner. Further the plaintiff shall respond to defendants' requests for production or risk sanctions in this case." [DE 24]. BELL has outright failed to respond to TYRIE and McMORRIS' Request for Production, despite the Court's Order mandating same on two separate occasions and has failed to provide better answers to TYRIE and McMORRIS' Interrogatory Numbers 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 despite the Court mandating same.

V. <u>BELL's Response to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Motion to Compel dated September</u> 29, 2011 [DE 2] provides false information

On September 29, 2011, BELL filed his "Motion In Response to Reply" stating that he has complied with everything the Defendants asked for and further stating that he has answered and followed through with each measure. BELL's "Motion in Response" provides false information

as TYRIE and MAGLOIRE, still as of the date of this Reply have not received (1) BELL's Responses to Request for Production nor documents responsive to any requests; (2) BELL's better answers to TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's Interrogatory Numbers 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16, nor non-verified answers to Defendants' Interrogatories, despite the undersigned counsel attempting to resolve the outstanding discovery issues.

CONCLUSION

TYRIE and McMORRIS are entitled to *complete* answers to their Rule 33 Initial Interrogatories and entitled to responses and documents responsive, if any, to their Rule 34 Request for Production. TYRIE and McMORRIS seek an Order from the Court awarding sanctions by striking BELL's pleadings and dismissing this action with prejudice for his failure to comply with this Courts Orders [DE 22] and [DE 24], failing to provide TYRIE and McMORRIS complete responses to Rule 33 and failing to respond entirely to TYRIE and McMORRIS' Rule 34 discovery, to which they are entitled.

Dated: October 7, 2011

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

Respectfully submitted.

s/Robert H. Schwartz

ROBERT H. SCHWARTZ (301167)

rhs@mcintoshschwartz.com

McINTOSH SCHWARTZ, P.L.

888 SE Third Avenue, Suite 500

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

Telephone:

(954) 660-9888

Facsimile:

(954) 760-9531

Attorneys for DEFENDANTS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 7, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing document with

the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served

this day on all counsel of record or pro se parties identified on the attached Service List in the

manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or

in some other authorized manner for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive

electronically Notices of Electronic Filing.

s/Robert H. Schwartz

ROBERT H. SCHWARTZ

-5-

BELL v. DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al. CASE NO: 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

Service List

James A. Bell
DC #L79545
Everglades Correctional Institution
1599 S.W. 187 Avenue
Miami, FL 33194 *Pro Se* Plaintiff
[via regular U.S. Mail]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

JAMES A. BELL,					
Plaintiff,					
vs.					
DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al.,					
Defendants.					

<u>DEFENDANTS, DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR. AND DEPUTY McMORRIS</u> <u>MAGLOIRE'S PRETRIAL STATEMENT</u>

Defendants, DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR. ("TYRIE") and DEPUTY McMORRIS MAGLOIRE ("MAGLOIRE"), by and through their undersigned counsel, and pursuant to the Court's Order Scheduling Pretrial Proceedings When Plaintiff is Proceeding Pro Se, dated April 26, 2011 [DE 18], hereby file their Pretrial Statement: ¹

A. Brief General Statement of the Case

Plaintiff, JAMES A. BELL ("BELL") filed a *pro se* Civil Rights Complaint [DE 1] pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for violation of his Fourth Amendment rights, namely, use of excessive force during an incident which occurred on March 9, 2010 at Broward County Main Jail. BELL seeks damages against TYRIE and MAGLOIRE as a result of the March 9, 2010 incident.

B. Written Statement of the Facts to be Offered at Trial

On March 9, 2010, TYRIE was employed by the Broward Sheriff's Office as a detention

As of the date of TYRIE and MAGLOIRE's filing of their Pretrial Statement, BELL has not filed his Pretrial Statement which became due September 23, 2011 and has not complied with this Court's Paperless Order dated October 3, 2011 [DE 29] ordering BELL to file

deputy assigned to the Central Intake Bureau, Broward County Main Jail. At approximately 3:20 a.m., BELL was being booked into Broward County Jail after being arrested by Seminole Police Department. BELL's charges by Seminole Police Department required that BELL be "strip searched" before being processed for intake into the jail. TYRIE took BELL into one Cell 2, one of the "strip search cells" to begin the search process. Once TYRIE was in Cell 2 with BELL, BELL refused to comply with the strip search process and attempted to walk out of the cell. TYRIE told BELL he needed to be searched due to one of his charges and attempted to escort BELL back to Cell 2. TYRIE placed his hand on BELL's shoulder when without warning BELL punched TYRIE with a closed fist on the right side of his face, near his eye. In an attempt to subdue BELL, TYRIE struck BELL in the face and chest. BELL took a wrestling stance, squatted down attempting to grab TYRIE by wrapping his arms around his legs to lift him up. TYRIE placed his weight on BELL's back and they both fell to the floor, causing BELL to strike his face on the cell floor. MAGLOIRE came in to the cell to assist TYRIE in attempting to restrain BELL. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE continuously ordered BELL to place his hands behind his back so he could be placed in handcuffs, but BELL refused. MAGLOIRE brought BELL into a prone position to handcuff him, but was only able to handcuff BELL's right hand. BELL continued to punch TYRIE and MAGLOIRE with his left hand and was kicking at the deputies. TYRIE struck BELL on his left side and MAGLOIRE punched BELL in the ribs are to gain control of BELL who finally complied. MAGLOIRE was able to place BELL in handcuffs and assisted him to a standing position.

BELL was taken to the Nurse's station and checked for injuries by the medical staff, but refused medical treatment. BELL was then taken back to Cell 2 to strip search him, issue a uniform and be processed for jail.

his Pretrial Statement forthwith.

TYRIE charged BELL with Battery on a Law Enforcement Officer and Resisting Arrest with Violence.

C. Exhibit List

- 1. Broward Sheriff's Office Event Report BS10-03-01875 dated 03/10/2010 authored by TYRIE including narrative;
- 2. Broward Sheriff's Office Narrative Event Report BS10-03-01875 dated 03/10/2010 authored by MAGLOIRE;
- 3. Probable Cause Affidavit BS10-03-01875 dated 03/09/2010 authored by TYRIE;
- 4. Broward Sheriff's Office Use of Force Report BS10-03-01875 dated 03/10/2010 authored by TYRIE;
- 5. Broward Sheriff's Office Use of Force Report BS10-03-01875 dated 03/10/2010 authored by MAGLOIRE;
- 6. Black and white photocopies of photographs depicting injuries to TYRIE;
- 7. Broward Sheriff's Office Inmate Disciplinary Report regarding subject incident;
- 8. Armor Correctional Care Refusal of Treatment Form dated 03/09/2010 regarding BELL;
- 9. Broward Sheriff's Office Department of Detention Urgent Care Medical Record dated 03/09/2010 regarding BELL;
- 10. Broward County Sheriff's Office Investigative Report regarding subject incident;
- 11. Broward Sheriff's Office Final Disposition Use of Force Charges TYRIE, regarding subject incident;
- 12. Broward Sheriff's Office Final Disposition Use of Force Charges MAGLOIRE, regarding subject incident:
- 13. Broward Sheriff's Office Booking Report with photograph dated 03/09/2010 regarding BELL;
- 14. Broward Sheriff's Office Inmate Housing Reports regarding BELL;
- 15. Broward Sheriff's Office Inmate Administrative Segregation Reports regarding BELL;

- 16. Broward Sheriff's Office Policy and Procedures
- 17. Any and all exhibits listed by BELL;
- 18. Summaries prepared pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 1006;
- 19. Demonstrative aids utilizing any and all exhibits on this list;
- 20. Demonstrative aids illustrating testimony of witnesses;
- 21. Demonstrative aids in support of argument on the evidence;
- 22. Rebuttal exhibits;
- 23. Impeachment exhibits:
- 24. Defendants reserve the right to amend their list of exhibits upon proper notice to BELL.

D. Witness List

1. **Deputy Regina Atkins**

Broward County Main Jail 555 SE 1st Avenue Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

2. Sergeant Mary Guess

Broward Sheriff's Office Internal Affairs 2601 West Broward Boulevard Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

3. Deputy McMorris Magloire

Can and should be contacted through: c/o McIntosh Schwartz, P.L. 888 SE 3rd Avenue, Suite 500 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

4. Lieutenant Christopher Moffat

Broward County Main Jail 555 SE 1st Avenue Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

5. EMT Jill Orsek

Armor Correctional Care Broward County Main Jail 555 SE 1st Avenue Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

6. **Deputy Kevin Tyrie**

Can and should be contacted through: c/o McIntosh Schwartz, P.L. 888 SE 3rd Avenue, Suite 500 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

7. EMT Jennifer Welch

Armor Correctional Care Broward County Main Jail 555 SE 1st Avenue Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

9. Medical Records Custodian

Armor Correctional Facility 1600 North Andrews Avenue Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

10. Records Custodian

Broward County Jail 555 SE 1st Avenue Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

- 12. Any and all witnesses listed by BELL
- 13. Impeachment Witnesses
- 14. Rebuttal Witnesses
- 15. TYRIE and MAGLOIRE reserve the right to amend their list of witnesses upon proper notice to BELL.

E. <u>Inmate Witness List</u>

TYRIE and MAGLOIRE do not intend on calling any "inmate" witnesses at trial.

F. Summary of Testimony of Witnesses

1. Deputy Regina Atkins

Deputy Atkins will testify regarding BELL's criminal activity during the subject incident, the battery on TYRIE. Deputy Atkins will further testify as to BELL's resistance to the booking procedure and resistance to his handcuffing by TYRIE and MAGLOIRE.

2. Sergeant Mary Guess

Sergeant Guess will testify that she was the investigating sergeant assigned to investigate BELL's complaint of use of excessive force by TYRIE and MAGLOIRE. Sergeant Guess will testify that her investigation into the subject incident found no violation of Broward Sheriff's Office policy and found there was no evidence to support BELL's allegation of use of excessive force by TYRIE and MAGLOIRE.

3. **Deputy McMorris Magloire**

Defendant. MAGLOIRE will testify regarding BELL's criminal activity during the subject incident, as well as the circumstances and events surrounding the subject incident. MAGLOIRE will testify that he used such force as reasonably necessary in response to BELL's resistance to arrest.

4. Lieutenant Christopher Moffat

Lieutenant Moffat will testify regarding BELL's criminal activity during the subject incident, the battery on TYRIE. Lieutenant Bell will further testify as to BELL's resistance to the booking procedure and resistance to his handcuffing by TYRIE and MAGLOIRE.

5. EMT Jill Orsek

EMT Orsek will testify that she attempted to conduct a medical evaluation of BELL after the subject incident, but he refused to cooperate and refused medical treatment.

6. **Deputy Kevin Tyrie**

Defendant. TYRIE will testify regarding BELL's criminal activity during the subject incident, as well as the circumstances and events surrounding the subject incident. TYRIE will testify that he sustained injuries to his face and eye area after being punched by BELL. TYRIE will testify that he used such force as reasonably necessary in response to BELL's resistance to arrest.

7. EMT Jennifer Welch

EMT Welch will testify that she assisted EMT Orsek attempting to provide medical treatment to BELL after the subject incident, but BELL refused treatment and refused to cooperate with the medical staff.

9. Records Custodian Armor Correctional Facility

Will testify regarding the contents and authenticity of BELL's medical records while incarcerated and treated at Broward County Main Jail.

10. Records Custodian **Broward County Jail**

> Will testify regarding the contents and authenticity of BELL's inmate records while incarcerated at Broward County Jail.

Dated: October 7, 2011

Notices of Electronic Filing

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

Respectfully submitted,

s/Robert H. Schwartz

ROBERT H. SCHWARTZ (301167)

rhs@mcintoshschwartz.com

McINTOSH SCHWARTZ, P.L.

888 Southeast 3rd Avenue, Suite 500

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

Telephone:

(954) 523-5885

Facsimile:

(954) 760-9531

Counsel for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 7, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all counsel of record or pro se parties identified on the attached Service List in the manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in some other authorized manner for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive electronically

> s/Robert H. Schwartz ROBERT H. SCHWARTZ

BELL v. DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, et al. CASE NO: 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ/WHITE

Service List

James A. Bell DC #L79545 **Everglades Correctional Institution** 1599 S.W. 187 Avenue Miami, FL 33194 Pro Se Plaintiff [via regular U.S. Mail]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA Miami Division

Case Number: 10-62214-CIV-MARTINEZ-WHITE

J	ΑM	ES	A.	BELL,	
---	----	----	----	-------	--

Plaintiff.

VS.

DEPUTY KEVIN TYRIE, JR., et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

THE MATTER was referred to the Honorable Patrick A. White, United States Magistrate Judge for a Report and Recommendation on Plaintiff's Complaint (D.E. No. 1). The Magistrate Judge filed a Report and Recommendation (D.E. No. 11). No objections were filed. The Court has reviewed the entire file and record, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is

ADJUDGED that United States Magistrate Judge 's Report and Recommendation (D.E.

No. 11) is AFFIRMED and ADOPTED. Accordingly, it is

ADJUDGED that

1. The case shall proceed on the claim of use of excessive force against Deputies Tyrie and Magloire

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at Miami, Florida, this 13 day of October, 2011.

198E E. MARTINEZ

NITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies provided to: Magistrate Judge White All Counsel of Record James A. Bell